NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SEP 23 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ESTATE OF JOHNSON CLARK and ESTATE OF LOUISE H. CLARK,

Plaintiffs,

and

PETER CARSON CLARK,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

WILLIAM HORWICH; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 12-17064

D.C. No. 3:12-cv-00137-CRB

MEMORANDUM*

ESTATE OF JOHNSON CLARK; ESTATE OF LOUISE H. CLARK,

Plaintiffs,

and

PETER CARSON CLARK,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

No. 12-17577

D.C. No. 3:12-cv-00137- CRB

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

v.

WILLIAM HORWICH; et al.,

Defendants - Appellants,

and

JON BERKLEY MANAGEMENT, INC.; et al.,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Charles R. Breyer, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted March 1, 2016**
San Francisco, California

Before: CLIFTON, N.R. SMITH, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Plaintiff Peter Clark appeals the district court's dismissal of his amended complaint. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

The district court did not err by dismissing Clark's claims that arose before he filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy. "[T]he bankruptcy trustee [has] the exclusive right to sue on behalf of the estate." *Estate of Spirtos v. One San Bernardino County*

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Superior Court Case, 443 F.3d 1172, 1176 (9th Cir. 2006). Clark does not have standing to bring those claims.

Clark's only post-petition claim is his RICO claim, and the district court did not err by dismissing it. To prove a RICO claim, Clark had to show: "(1) conduct (2) of an enterprise (3) through a pattern (4) of racketeering activity (known as 'predicate acts') (5) causing injury to plaintiff's business or property." *United Bhd. of Carpenters & Joiners of Am. v. Bldg. & Const. Trades Dep't, AFL-CIO*, 770 F.3d 834, 837 (9th Cir. 2014). Clark alleged that Defendants engaged in various types of mail fraud, wire fraud, and bankruptcy fraud. These charges can serve as RICO predicate acts, but here, Clark did not provide sufficient factual allegations "to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). Because Clark did not properly allege any predicate acts by Defendants, and because the district court correctly decided that any amendments would be futile, the district court did not err by dismissing his RICO claim.

The district court properly denied Clark's motion to compel arbitration under the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA). "[T]he FAA does not itself confer jurisdiction on federal district courts over actions to compel arbitration or to confirm or vacate arbitration awards, nor does it create a federal cause of action giving rise to federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331." *United States v. Park Place*

Associates, Ltd., 563 F.3d 907, 918 (9th Cir. 2009) (citation omitted). Having dismissed Clark's RICO claim—his only basis for federal jurisdiction—the district court did not err by denying Clark's motion to compel arbitration. For the same reason, the district court did not err in dismissing Clark's state law claims without prejudice.

Last, the district court did not abuse its discretion by denying Defendants' motion for a prefiling order. A prefiling order is an "extreme remedy" that should be used "only in exigent circumstances," *De Long v. Hennessey*, 912 F.2d 1144, 1147 (9th Cir. 1990), and we cannot say that the decision not to impose one in the proceedings below was an abuse of discretion.

AFFIRMED.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Office of the Clerk

95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

Information Regarding Judgment and Post-Judgment Proceedings

Judgment

• This Court has filed and entered the attached judgment in your case. Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please note the filed date on the attached decision because all of the dates described below run from that date, not from the date you receive this notice.

Mandate (Fed. R. App. P. 41; 9th Cir. R. 41-1 & -2)

• The mandate will issue 7 days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing or 7 days from the denial of a petition for rehearing, unless the Court directs otherwise. To file a motion to stay the mandate, file it electronically via the appellate ECF system or, if you are a pro se litigant or an attorney with an exemption from using appellate ECF, file one original motion on paper.

Petition for Panel Rehearing (Fed. R. App. P. 40; 9th Cir. R. 40-1) Petition for Rehearing En Banc (Fed. R. App. P. 35; 9th Cir. R. 35-1 to -3)

(1) A. Purpose (Panel Rehearing):

- A party should seek panel rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:
 - ► A material point of fact or law was overlooked in the decision;
 - A change in the law occurred after the case was submitted which appears to have been overlooked by the panel; or
 - An apparent conflict with another decision of the Court was not addressed in the opinion.
- Do not file a petition for panel rehearing merely to reargue the case.

B. Purpose (Rehearing En Banc)

• A party should seek en banc rehearing only if one or more of the following grounds exist:

- ► Consideration by the full Court is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of the Court's decisions; or
- ▶ The proceeding involves a question of exceptional importance; or
- The opinion directly conflicts with an existing opinion by another court of appeals or the Supreme Court and substantially affects a rule of national application in which there is an overriding need for national uniformity.

(2) Deadlines for Filing:

- A petition for rehearing may be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party in a civil case, the time for filing a petition for rehearing is 45 days after entry of judgment. Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1).
- If the mandate has issued, the petition for rehearing should be accompanied by a motion to recall the mandate.
- *See* Advisory Note to 9th Cir. R. 40-1 (petitions must be received on the due date).
- An order to publish a previously unpublished memorandum disposition extends the time to file a petition for rehearing to 14 days after the date of the order of publication or, in all civil cases in which the United States or an agency or officer thereof is a party, 45 days after the date of the order of publication. 9th Cir. R. 40-2.

(3) Statement of Counsel

• A petition should contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the situations described in the "purpose" section above exist. The points to be raised must be stated clearly.

(4) Form & Number of Copies (9th Cir. R. 40-1; Fed. R. App. P. 32(c)(2))

- The petition shall not exceed 15 pages unless it complies with the alternative length limitations of 4,200 words or 390 lines of text.
- The petition must be accompanied by a copy of the panel's decision being challenged.
- An answer, when ordered by the Court, shall comply with the same length limitations as the petition.
- If a pro se litigant elects to file a form brief pursuant to Circuit Rule 28-1, a petition for panel rehearing or for rehearing en banc need not comply with Fed. R. App. P. 32.

Case: 12-17577, 09/23/2016, ID: 10134265, DktEntry: 73-2, Page 3 of 5

- The petition or answer must be accompanied by a Certificate of Compliance found at Form 11, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.
- You may file a petition electronically via the appellate ECF system. No paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise. If you are a pro se litigant or an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, file one original petition on paper. No additional paper copies are required unless the Court orders otherwise.

Bill of Costs (Fed. R. App. P. 39, 9th Cir. R. 39-1)

- The Bill of Costs must be filed within 14 days after entry of judgment.
- See Form 10 for additional information, available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*.

Attorneys Fees

- Ninth Circuit Rule 39-1 describes the content and due dates for attorneys fees applications.
- All relevant forms are available on our website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms* or by telephoning (415) 355-7806.

Petition for a Writ of Certiorari

• Please refer to the Rules of the United States Supreme Court at www.supremecourt.gov

Counsel Listing in Published Opinions

- Please check counsel listing on the attached decision.
- If there are any errors in a published <u>opinion</u>, please send a letter **in writing** within 10 days to:
 - ► Thomson Reuters; 610 Opperman Drive; PO Box 64526; St. Paul, MN 55164-0526 (Attn: Jean Green, Senior Publications Coordinator);
 - ▶ and electronically file a copy of the letter via the appellate ECF system by using "File Correspondence to Court," or if you are an attorney exempted from using the appellate ECF system, mail the Court one copy of the letter.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

BILL OF COSTS

This form is available as a fillable version at: http://cdn.ca9.uscourts.gov/datastore/uploads/forms/Form%2010%20-%20Bill%20of%20Costs.pdf.

Note: If you wish to file a bill of costs, it MUST be submitted on this form and filed, with the clerk, with proof of service, within 14 days of the date of entry of judgment, and in accordance with 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. A late bill of costs must be accompanied by a motion showing good cause. Please refer to FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, and 9th Circuit Rule 39-1 when preparing your bill of costs. V. 9th Cir. No.								
The Clerk is reques	ted to tax 1	the following	ng costs aga	ainst:				
Cost Taxable under FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, 9th Cir. R. 39-1	REQUESTED (Each Column Must Be Completed)				ALLOWED (To Be Completed by the Clerk)			
	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST
Excerpt of Record			\$	\$			\$	\$
Opening Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Answering Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Reply Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Other**			\$	\$			\$	\$

TOTAL: |\$|

Attorneys' fees cannot be requested on this form.

TOTAL: \$

^{*} Costs per page: May not exceed .10 or actual cost, whichever is less. 9th Circuit Rule 39-1.

^{**} Other: Any other requests must be accompanied by a statement explaining why the item(s) should be taxed pursuant to 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. Additional items without such supporting statements will not be considered.

Case: 12-17577, 09/23/2016, ID: 10134265, DktEntry: 73-2, Page 5 of 5 Form 10. Bill of Costs - Continued

, swear under penalty of perjury that the services for which costs are taxed ere actually and necessarily performed, and that the requested costs were actually expended as listed.
ignature
's/" plus attorney's name if submitted electronically)
Pate
Jame of Counsel:
attorney for:
To Be Completed by the Clerk)
Oate Costs are taxed in the amount of \$
Clerk of Court
By: , Deputy Clerk